# ADA034402

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
Contract N00014-76-C-0229
Project NR 105-516

Consider in	
Wi	While Smalles 🔛
)9 <b>C</b>	Bull Bection []
Hyzerrzees	
JESTIFICATION	and the same and t
	arminenter of the second
97	
DESTRIBUTE	CH/AVAILABILITY COTTO
Ant.	AVALL MAN IT SPECIAL
- · · · ·	· ·
Λ	•
A	

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 103

# EFFECTS OF GLUCOSE OR INSULIN ON MYOCARDIAL PERFORMANCE IN ENDOTOXIN SHOCK

L. B. Hinshaw, L. T. Archer, B. Benjamin, and C. Bridges

Prepared for Publication

in

Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine

University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center
Department of Physiology and Biophysics,
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

27 February 1976

Reproduction in whole or part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

Distribution of this report is unlimited



Myocardial dysfunction in endotoxin or septic shock has been observed in several species including dog (1-2) and man (3, 4). The precise mechanism for the failure has eluded description although inadequate coronary perfusion has been implicated as a primary determinant (5), and substrate deficiency has been suggested or implied (6-7). A direct toxic action of endotoxin on the myocardium seems to have been excluded (1, 2), and a proposed adverse effect of a circulating myocardial depressant factor (8) has not been confirmed (2).

Hypoglycercia has been documented to perform a significant role in the pathogenesis of endotoxin and septic shock in animals (9-13) and man (9, 14). Hypoinsulinemia has been reported in subhuman primate septic shock by Cryer's group (15), and Hinshaw et al. (16), and in low output human septic shock by Clowes et al. (17). Diabetic-like glucose tolerance responses have been observed in septic shock patients by Gump and others, (18).

Recent reports have suggested prominent roles of glucose and insulin in improving cardiac output in shock (6, 7, 17). Weissler and others (19) have suggested that the effect of insulin on the hypoxic isolated perfused rat heart is to increase utilization of glucose. Clowes et al. (17) suspected that infused insulin exerted a possible direct beneficial action on the myocardium in septic shock. Hiatt et al. (20) found that massive doses of insulin (2400 to 7500 units) prolonged survival in dogs for 30 hours to 10 days after ligation of the circumflex branch of the left coronary artery; whereas, non-treated control dogs died within 16 minutes. They proposed that insulin infusion suppressed premature ventricular contractions, ventricular tachycardia and fibrillation and diminished myocardial injury and ischemia (20). Our recent work documented the development of severe hypoglycemia during the intermediate stages of endotoxin shock. Animals becoming markedly hypoglycemic (<35 mg\*)

died in shock, whereas those receiving intravenous infusions of 50% glucose survived (13).

It occurred to us that the progressively developing hypoglycemia might be related to the precipitation of myocardial dysfunction in endotoxin shock. The purpose of the present study was to evaluate this possibility and determine the relative roles of glucose and insulin in supporting cardiac function in shock. Results from this study suggest that both the development of myocardial dysfunction following endotoxin insult, and its subsequent reversal by insulin, occur independently from changes in blood glucose concentrations.

#### Methods

Description of isolated heart preparations. Experiments were carried out on fifteen isolated working canine heart preparations exchanging blood with intact support animals, as previously described (1, 2). The right ventricle was bypassed following cannulation of the pulmonary artery and coronary venous return was obtained from a large bore cannula introduced into the right ventricle (1, 2). During an equilibrium period, arterial blood from the support animal was supplied to the test heart, with lungs inflated, and the preparation was stabilized at a mean aortic pressure of 100 mmHg and a cardiac output of 76 ml/min per kg (based on the weight of the dog supplying the isolated heart). Coronary venous return and aortic outflow from the isolated heart were collected separately, measured volumetrically, and returned to the support animal (1, 2). Intraventricular pressures, including left ventricular end diastolic pressure (LVEDP) were monitored via pressure transducers connected to a plastic cannula penetrating the apex of the left ventricle. Mean aortic pressure, pulmonary blood flow and blood temperature were maintained constant. Cardiac power (gm·meters/sec) and maximum positive (+) and negative (-) dP/dt (mmHg/sec) were calculated as previously reported (1, 2). Blood pressure in the support animal

and various parameters in the isolated heart were continuously monitored on a Sanborn recorder. Afterload was varied periodically in order to evaluate myocardial performance.

Description of glucose and insulin studies. Arterial blood glucose was determined with a Beckman Glucose Analyzer possessing an accuracy of ±3 mg%. Insulin (Iletin<sup>R</sup>, Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, Indiana) was either infused into the left atrium of the isolated heart or administered to the support animal via the blood reservoir. Experiments were divided into three groups of five studies each and were composed of an initial group receiving an LD<sub>100</sub> E. coli endotoxin (Difco, Detroit). Endotoxin was administered intravenously to the support dog, and simultaneously to the isolated heart (based on weight of animal supplying the heart) via the pulmonary artery. Hypertonic glucose, 50%, was infused in some experiments into the reservoir receiving blood from the isolated heart, at rates sufficient to maintain coronary arterial glucose concentration constant. A second series was conducted in which endotoxin was administered, and following demonstration of myocardial failure, insulin was infused continuously into the left atrium of the isolated heart for the duration of the study.

A final group of experiments was performed to determine the effects of insulin infusion on non-failing hearts not treated with endotoxin. Insulin was infused into the left atrium at rates sufficient to sustain significantly improved myocardial performance, as indicated by decreased LVEDP and elevated dP/dt values. In approximately one hour following steady state effects of insulin on heart performance, insulin infusion was stopped, the support dog was removed from the perfusion system, isolated lungs ventilated with 20% 02 and 5% CO2 and the heart monitored for approximately one hour. Insulin blood values in the isolated perfusion system were determined by radioimmnoassay (Phadebas Insulin Test, Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden).

Statistics were carried out utilizing a Student t test.

## Results

Table I presents results from five isolated perfused working left ventricles exchanging blood with intact support dogs. Following establishment of base-line heart performance values, an LD100 endotoxin was administered to both heart and dog, and the arterial concentration of blood glucose reaching the heart was maintained constant by infusion of 50% glucose into the venous reservoir. Amounts of glucose infused varied greatly, however the maximum decrease in glucose values from the control in all experiments did not exceed 4%. Results from individual heart studies shown in Table I demonstrate myocardial failure 3-4 hours post-endotoxin: LVEDP rises significantly in each heart, and in heart #5, afterload could not be elevated above 50 mmHg without induction of irreversible failure. Power values diminished from control in all instances while coronary blood flows were elevated in most hearts. Changes in + and -dP/dt and heart rate were variable. These results demonstrated that myocardial dysfunction after endotoxin may occur independently of arterial blood glucose concentrations, hypoglycemia being excluded therefore, as a prerequisite for failure. The degree and time course of failure seen in the present series agrees with previously published reports (1, 2) on dogs subjected to endotoxin shock without glucose administration.

Table II illustrates results from a second series of five hearts receiving endotoxin as in Table I but additionally infused with insulin following the first significant indication of cardiac dysfunction as verified by imposed afterload stresses. The average time for demonstration of dysfunction was 3.4 hours post-endotoxin as evidenced by significant increases in LVEDP, and depressions in power and -dP/dt at 100 or 125 mmig afterloads. Mean glucose values declined, though insignificantly, during the post-endotoxin period.

Insulin administration was found to exert a beneficial action on myocardial performance: infusion, continued during the 3-5 hours period after endotoxin. was adjusted on the basis of achieving end diastolic pressures at control values or lower. Results demonstrate mean decreases in LVEDP at both afterloads of 100 and 125 mmHg, within two hours of infusion, the most marked mean reduction. 17.1 to 4.3 mmHg, occurring by the fourth hour at an afterload of 125 mmHg. Coronary blood flow was increased and +dP/dt was elevated at 100 mmHg (p≤0.025). Changes in power. -dP/dt and heart rate were insignificant in comparison with control values. Glucose concentration progressively fell during the post-endotoxin course, reaching values of 43 and 33 mg\* (p<0.05) at afterloads of 100 and 125 mmHg respectively. These results demonstrated reversal of dysfunction by insulin infusion and sustained improvement of myocardial function during subsequent periods of hypoglycemia. Favorable effects of insulin were observed following its termination of infusion. Results from one experiment demonstrate its duration of action: Three hours following endotoxin administration, LVEDP rose from 3.0 to 22.5 mmHg at an afterload of 125 mmHg. Approximately 80 U of insulin was then infused during a period of 1.5 hours, resulting in a drop of LVEDP to 3.5 mmHg. Insulin infusion was then terminated and 50 minutes later LVEDP remained stabilized at 3.5 mmHg at an afterload of 125 mmHg.

The effects of insulin infusion on isolated hearts not receiving endotoxin are displayed in Table III. The first period of heart performance is 1-3 hours following onset of insulin administration, when the support dog is in the perfusion circuit (as in the previous series). The second and third periods of 3-4 hours represent the period during which the heart was totally separated from the support animal so that there was no source of blood-borne agents.

Results show notable decreases in LVEDP at 100 and 150 mmHg afterloads (p=0.05) within 3 hours after onset of insulin administration. Near +dP/dt increased

while the arterial glucose level fell to 46 mg% (p<0.001) within 3 hours of insulin infusion at an afterload of 100 mmHg. During the same period, elevations of power, +dP/dt and -dP/dt were seen at 150 mmHg (ps0.05). Glucose values in arterial blood continued to fall after removal of the support animal from the heart perfusion system, reaching concentrations of 28 mg% at 3.5 hours and 16 mg% at 4.0 hours while LVEDP, power, coronary blood flow, +dP/dt and -dP/dt remained at control values, or were improved above control values (+dP/dt, p=0.02, at 100 mmHg afterload and +dP/dt, p=0.025 and -dP/dt, p=0.05, at 150 mmHg afterload). Insulin concentrations in the reservoir at the end of each experiment were in excess of 320  $\mu$ /units ml. These observations suggest that insulin infusion exerts a profoundly beneficial effect on myocardial performance which is sustained for long, steady-state periods and occurs in spite of extreme hypoglycemia.

# Discussion

The purpose of this report was to determine if the hypoglycemia of endotoxin shock bore a causal relationship to the elicitation of myocardial dysfunction. Previous work in this laboratory documented progressively developing cardiac failure (1) and lethal hypoglycemia (13) after endotoxin in the dog. It seemed reasonable that some connection might exist between the two pathophysiological phenomena. Administration of hypertonic glucose has been reported to elicit beneficial myocardial responses and elevations of cardiac output. Baue et al. (21) and Replogle and others (22) administered 50% glucose to dogs in shock and reported increases in cardiac output. Beneficial effects of glucose in critically ill patients have been reported by Pindyck's group (6) who observed increases in cardiac output and left ventricular stroke work with hypertonic glucose. These above observations, including the reported hypoglycemia in canine endotoxin shock; the survival benefits of infused glucose (9, 13) in endotoxin shock; and recent reports of hypoglycemia in septic shock patients

(9, 14); strongly suggest that elevated blood glucose concentrations should augment myocardial performance. Results from the present study however, fail to confirm this possibility: severe myocardial dysfunction occurred at all levels of glucose concentrations (5-125 mg\*), and maintenance of glucose at control or higher levels by infusion was without benefit to the myocardium. These findings suggest that the reported beneficial effects of infusion of hypertonic glucose may be due to peripheral rather than direct, cardiac actions. The observed increase in cardiac output by Replogle's group (22) and maintenance of mean arterial pressure in dogs shocked with endotoxin (13) may be due to augmented venous return and improved metabolic status following hypertonic glucose infusion.

Of particular interest in the present study were the significant beneficial cardiac effects of insulin in both failing and normal hearts. Very recently Weisul's group (7) demonstrated the effectiveness of an infusion of glucose, insulin and potassium in clinical septic shock. They confirmed the presence of severe myocardial depression in low cardiac output septic shock and although isoproterenol improved performance it did not correct the depression of cardiac function. On the other hand, a solution of glucose, insulin and potassium dramatically improved performance and corrected myocardial abnormalities (7). Weissler et al. (19) described the beneficial effects of insulin on the performance of the hypoxic isolated perfused rat heart and ascribed its benefit to the increased myocardial utilization of glucose. Weisul et al. (7) could not describe the mechanism of action of the solution of glucose, potassium and insulin in their septic shock patients, but suggested that it was linked to the prevention of both loss of potassium and gain of sodium in myocardial tissue thus supporting the transmembrane action potential and myocardial contractility. Clowes and others (17) were also unable to explain the mechanism by which cardiac function is improved by glucose, potassium and insulin in septic shock, but

THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

suggested that glucose transport and glycolysis were enhanced and that the cell membrane potential was restored. Results from the present study indicate that insulin infusion alone clearly improves myocardial performance of the failing heart in endotoxin shock. Purther, its strong inotropic influence did not depend on existing blood glucose levels, as was also observed in normal hearts not administered endotoxin. This observation is consistent with the view that insulin possess a direct myocardial action, since normal hearts, which would not be expected to possess metabolic defects or altered transmembrane potentials, responded in a similar fashion as failing hearts. Of importance is the observation that severe hypoinsulinemia is consistently observed in subhuman septic shock (15-16) and in patients with low output septic shock (17). Since depressed heart function has been demonstrated in human septic shock (3, 4), the beneficial actions of infused insulin may be due in part to its important influences on myocardial contractility. Currently conducted isolated heart studies reveal an increase in heart rate from 158 to 169 beats/minute (p<0.005) at low doses of glucagon infusion (1 µg/minute), and since heart rates were unchanged after insulin in the present study, glucagon as an impurity in the insulin solution does not appear to be the agent mediating improvement of myocardial performance.

### Summary

Past studies reported by this laboratory have documented myocardial dysfunction and progressively developing hypoglycemia in canine endotoxin shock. The purpose of the present study was to determine the effects of glucose concentrations and insulin infusions on myocardial performance following endotoxin administration. Experiments were carried out on isolated, working canine left ventricular heart preparations exchanging blood with intact dogs. Myocardial function was evaluated following endotoxin and correlated with concentrations of glucose and effects

**《 100 · 10** 

of insulin infusion. Cardiac dysfunction occurred within 2-4 hours postendotoxin and the degree of malfunction was not related to arterial blood
glucose concentrations. Maintaining blood glucose at control, pre-shock,
levels by infusion of 50% glucose did not prevent myocardial dysfunction as
evidenced by elevations of left ventricular end diastolic pressure, and
depressed power. Infusions of insulin reversed cardiac failure and maintained
normal performance in spite of wide ranges in glucose concentration (5-120 mg%).
Findings suggest that myocardial dysfunction is not precipitated or enhanced
by the hypoglycemia of endotoxin shock. The beneficial actions of infused
insulin on cardiac performance appear to be elicited on the basis of mechanisms
other than myocardial glucose transport.

## References

- Hinshaw, L. B., Greenfield, L. J., Owen, S. E., Black, M. R. and Guenter, C. A., Surg. Gynecol. Obstet. 135, 39 (1972).
- Hinshaw, L. B., Archer, L. T., Black, M. R., Elkins, R. C., Brown,
   P. P., and Greenfield, L. J., Am. J. Physiol. 226, 357 (1974).
- 3. Bell, H., and Thal, A., Postgrad. Med. 48, 106 (1970).
- Siegel, J. H., Greenspan, M., and Del Guercio, L. R. M., <u>Ann. Surg.</u>
   165, 504 (1967).
- 5. Greenfield, L. J., McCurdy, J. R., Hinshaw, L. B., and Elkins, R. C., Surgery 72, 111 (1972).
- Pindyck, F., Drucker, M. R., Brown, R. S., and Shoemaker, W. C., <u>Surgery</u>,
   75, 11 (1974).
- Weisul, J. P., O'Donnell, T. F., Jr., Stone, M. A., and Clowes, G. H. A., Jr., J. Surg. Res. 18, 357 (1975).
- 8. Lefer, A. M., Fed. Proc. 29, 1836 (1970).

いたっというとうできないとのは、これのでは、日本のではのでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは

- 9. Berk, J. L., Hagen, J. F., Beyer, W. H., and Gerber, M. J., Ann. Surg. 171, 400 (1970).
- 10. Filkins, J. P., and Cornell, R. P., Am. J. Physiol. 227, 778 (1974).
- 11. Griffiths, J., Groves, A. C., and Leung, F. Y., Surg. Gynecol. Obstet. 136, 897 (1973).
- 12. Groves, A. C., Woolf, L. I., O'Regan, P. J., Beach, E., Hasinoff, C., and Sutherland, W. H., Surgery 76, 533 (1974).
- 13. Hinshaw, L. B., Peyton, M. D., Archer, L. T., Black, M. R., Coalson, J. J., and Greenfield, L. J., Surg. Gynecul. Obstet. 139, 851 (1974).

The state of the s

14. Rackwitz, R., Jahrmärker, H., Prechtel, K., Thiesen, K., and Grohman, H., Klin. Wschr. 52, 605 (1974).

- 15. Cryer, P. E., Herman, C. M., and Sode, J., Ann. Surg. 174, 91 (1971).
- Hinshaw, L. B., Benjamin, B., Coalson, J. J., Elkins, R. C., Taylor,
   F. B., Jr., Price, J. T., Smith, C. W., and Greenfield, L. J.,
   Circ. Shock 2, 197 (1975).
- Clowes, G. H. A., Jr., O'Donnell, T. F., Ryan, N. T., and Blackburn,
   G. L., Ann. Surg. 179, 684 (1974).
- 18. Gump, F. E., Long, C., Killian, P., and Kinney, J. M., <u>J. Trauma</u> 14, 378 (1974).
- Weissler, A. M., Altschuld, R. A., Gibb, L. E., Pollack, M. E. and Kruger, F. A., Circ. Res. 32, 108 (1973).
- 20. Hiatt, N., Sheinkopf, J. A., and Warner, N. E., <u>Cardiovasc</u>. <u>Res</u>. 5, 48 (1971).
- 21. Baue, A. E., Tragus, E. T., and Parkins, W. M., J. Trauma 7, 743 (1967).
- 22. Replogle, R. L., Kundler, H., and Gross, R. E., Surgery 50, 658 (1965).

TABLE I. Effects of Endotoxin on Myocardial Performance During Glucose Infusion\*

er ga		LVEDP† (matig)		70 (91.18et	POWER (gm·meters/sec)	CORCNARY BLOOD FL (ml/min/100 gms)	CORONARY BLOOD FLOW (ml/min/100 gms)		+dP/dt (maig/sec)	-dP/dt (mm/ig/sec)	/dt /sec)	HEAR (1	HEART RATE (min)
	ပ	82	PE (Hours)	ပ	82	U	E.	U	22	U	22	U	E
-	9.5	26.5	ы	9.3	7.6	151	196	1613	1592	1645	1603	126	138
~	2.0	10.0	ריז	7.0	4.	107	125	1923	1887	2632	2083	144	138
m	4.0	14.0	4	6.6	0.6	130	130	1851	1612	2173	2083	150	156
•	0.5	5.0	м	8.1	7.8	. 29	120	2000	1786	2778	2273	120	120
	4.0 (2.0)	13.9 (4.6)		8.6 (0.6)	7.7 (0.5)	112 (19)	142 (18)	1847 (84)	1719 (71)	2307 (256)	2011 (143)	135	138
P VALLE	E 0.025	<b>X</b>											
Ġ	4.0	17.5	•	4.3	3.1	69	8	862	922	1063	1136	120	114
"Infus	*Infusion of 50% glucose at rate sufficient	lucose at	rate s		to maintain automini bland annum.	tout 1 Livet							

(EXPTS. 1-4 at afterload of 100 mmHg; EXPT. 5, afterload of 50 mmHg)

LVEIP=Left ventricular end diastolic pressure.
O= Control; PE= Post-Endotoxin

TABLE II. Effects of Insulin on Myocardial Performance in Endotoxin Shock\*

Persentor	Control	Post-Endotoxin (Mean, 3 hrs)		Post-] Mean, 4 h	Post-Insulin (Mean, 4 hrs Post-Endotoxin)	Post-Insulin (Mean, 5 hrs Post-Endotoxin
EVERP (mailg)	6.9	9.3 (p,	Afterload, 100 matig (p, 0.02) 9.8	00 mette		3.5
FORER (pr-meters/sec)	11.2	10.6 (p,	(p, 0.01)	10.4		10.6
CONCRARY BLOOD FLOAT	#	8		104	(р. 0.025)	120 (p, 0.02)
*#/dt (mmig/soc)	2132	2251		2293		3137 (p, 0.02)
-#/dt (mmfg/soc)	2716	2028		2363		2771
HEART RATE (min)	142	151		154		. 152
GLUDOSE (mgt)	121	8		88		43 (0, 0.001)
LVEIP	9.9	17.1 (p,	Afterload, 125 0.05)	25 marig	(p, 0.0s)	4.3
FORER	13.9	12.4		14.2		14.2
CORONARY BLOOD FLONT	100	ш (ф.	(b, 0.05)	124		142
<b>+æ</b> /dt	2725	2230		2572		2997
<b>₩</b> /\$#	3302	2018 (p,	(p, 0.05)	2753		2929
HEART RATE	146	150		146		149
GUIOSE	118	88		70		33 (p, 0.05)
	•	•	•			

<sup>\*</sup>Data from five isolated canine heart preparations maintained at constant cardiac output and afterloads of 100 mmHg (performance evaluated at 125 mmHg). Blood is exchanged with intact animal. Ranges of insulin infused, 70-5500 units. Statistical significance compared to controls.

fal/min/100 gas left ventricle

TABLE III. Effects of Insulin on Myocardial Performance in the Absence of Endotoxin\*

Martin   M	Parameter	Control	Post-Insulin (1-3 hrs) (Dog in circuit with heart)	<pre>Post-Insulin (3.5 hrs) (Heart isolated; (dog removed)</pre>	Post-Insulin (4.0 hrs) (Heart isolated; (dog removed)
Consistencial Socioli         9.5         9.6         9.4         9.4           Con BLOODD FLOWT         103         118         114         114           Cumity/Sec.)         2024         3321         (p. 0.01)         2997         2026           Cumity/Sec.)         2682         3448         (p. 0.01)         2983         2853         2853           VATE (min.)         140         154         (p. 0.05)         111         (p. 0.01)         104           I INFUSEDIA         3         46         (p. 0.05)         111         (p. 0.001)         16           I INFUSEDIA         4.5         3         Affertload, 150 mmity         2.1         1.4         1.4           I INFUSEDIA         4.5         1.4         (p. 0.05)         14.5         1.4         1.4           I INFUSEDIA         3.6         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0           I INFUSEDIA         4.5         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0           I INFUSEDIA         4.5         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0<	LYEIP (mig)	2.7	Afterload, 100 mmig 0.7 (p, 0.02)	1.3 (p, 0.05)	1.4 (p, 0.02)
Cumity Sec.)         2024         3521         (p. 0.001)         2970         2655           Cumity Sec.)         2682         3448         (p. 0.01)         2983         2855           VATE (min)         140         154         (p. 0.01)         288         (p. 0.01)         288           I INTESED         4.5	FORER (ga-meters/sec)	9,3	9.5	<b>9.</b> ¢	9.4
Cumbig/sec.)         2024         3321         (p. 0.001)         2983         2855           Cumbig/sec.)         2682         3448         (p. 0.01)         2983         2855           WATE (min)         140         154         (p. 0.001)         28         (p. 0.01)         2855           WATE (min)         127         46         (p. 0.001)         28         (p. 0.001)         28         (p. 0.001)         100           ITAHUSED         Afterload, 150 mmig         100         100         100         100         100           Its)         Afterload, 150 mmig         2.1         2.1         2.9         2.9           ITAHUSED         Afterload, 150 mmig         2.1         2.1         2.9           Afterload, 150 mmig         14.5         14.5         14.5         2.1           Afterload, 150 mmig         16.5         14.5         14.5         14.6         14.5           ITAHUSED         14.5         16.0         14.5         14.5         14.6         14.6           ITAHUSED         16.0         14.2         16.0         16.0         16.0         16.0         16.0         16.0         16.0         16.0         16.0         16.0         16.0<	CONCOLARY BLOOD FLONT	103	118	114	114
VATE (min)         2682         3448 (p, 0.01)         2983         2855           VATE (min)         140         154 (p, 0.05)         111 (p, 0.01)         104         104           i (mgt)         127         46 (p, 0.001)         28 (p, 0.001)         28 (p, 0.001)         106           i INTHUSEDA         7,900         Arterload, 150 mm/g         100         2.1         2.0           txs)         Arterload, 150 mm/g         2.1         4.5         14.6 (p, 0.02)         2.1         2.9           txs)         14.0         14.2 (p, 0.05)         14.5 (p, 0.05)         14.5 (p, 0.05)         14.5 (p, 0.05)         14.6 (p, 0.05)         3983           ATE (min)         147         (p, 0.01)         114 (p, 0.025)         3895         4123           ATE (min)         147         (p, 0.01)         114 (p, 0.025)         3010         4123	<b>*#/dt (mmig/sec)</b>	2024		2970	
WIE (min)         140         154 (p, 0.05)         111 (p, 0.01)         104         104           S (mg\$)         127         46 (p, 0.001)         28 (p, 0.001)         28 (p, 0.001)         16           I INHUSED         Afterload, 150 mm <sup>4</sup> 2.1         2.3         2.3           4.5         Afterload, 150 mm <sup>4</sup> 2.1         2.3         2.9           I 4.0         14.0         14.0         14.5         14.5         14.6           I 4.10         14.2         (p, 0.05)         14.5         14.6         18.1           I 34.0         166         195         2.3         14.5         2.9         18.1           I 34.6         3466         50.0         0.005)         4113         40.005)         3895           WIE (min)         147         161         (p, 0.01)         114         (p, 0.025)         106	-4 /dt (milg/sec)	<b>289</b> 2		2983	2855
I INTHESED   127   46	HEART RATE (min)	140	154 (p, 0.05)	111 (p, 0.01)	
INFUSED   7,900   100   100   159)   14.5	GLUCOSE (mgt)	127			
4.5       Afterload, 150 mm/g 1.4 (p, 0.02)       2.1         14.0       14.2 (p, 0.05)       14.5       14.5         W BLOOD FLOW*       166       195       168         2880       4936 (p, 0.005)       4113 (p, 0.025)       33         3466       5020 (p, 0.02)       3895       4         WE (min)       147       161 (p, 0.01)       114 (p, 0.025)	BKSLIN INFUSED (units)		7,900	100	0
MTE (min)     14.0     14.2     (p, 0.05)     14.5     14.5     168       MTE (min)     14.0     195     162     (p, 0.01)     114     (p, 0.025)     38	LVEDP	4,5	Afterload, 150 mmHg 1,4 (p, 0.02)	2.1	2.9
Of BLOOD FLOW <sup>†</sup> 166     195     168       2880     4936     (p, 0.005)     4113     (p, 0.025)     38       3466     5020     (p, 0.02)     3895     4       MTE (min)     147     161     (p, 0.01)     114     (p, 0.025)	POIER	14.0	14.2 (p, 0.05)	14.5	14.6
2880 4936 (p, 0.005) 4113 (p, 0.025) 38 3466 5020 (p, 0.02) 3895 4  MTE (min) 147 161 (p, 0.01) 114 (p, 0.025)	CORONARY BLOOD FLOW	166	195	168	181
3466 5020 (p, 0.02) 3895 4 147 161 (p, 0.01) 114 (p, 0.025)	<b>+₽/</b> dt	2880	4936 (p, 0.005)	4113 (p, 0.025)	
147 161 (p, 0.01) 114 (p, 0.025)	♣/dt	3466	<b>502</b> 0 (b, 0.02)	3895	
	HEART RATE (min)	147	161 (p, 0.01)	114 (p, 0.025)	106 (p, 0.005)

That from five isolated canine heart preparations, receiving infusions of insulin without endotoxin. Statistical significances compared to control values.

hal/min/100 gms left ventricle

Security Classification	
DOCUMENT CON	TROL DATA - R & D
	g annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)
1 ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	ZA, REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA HEALTH SCIENCES C	ENTER UNCLASSIFIED
OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA	26. GROUP
	UNCLASSIFIED
REPORT TITLE	<del></del>
Francisco de Caracia d	
EFFECTS OF GLUCOSE OR INSULIN ON MYOCARD	IAL PERFORMANCE IN ENDOTOXIN SHOCK
Descriptive no, entry of sport and inclusive dates)	
Technical Report,	
3 AUTHORIS (First name, didile initial, last name)	
L. B. Alinshaw	170 /
L. T. Archer, C. Bridges	- p. f.
B./Benjamin	
27 5-1	78. TOTAL NO OF PAGES 76. NO. OF REES
27 Feb 176	15 22
75) NØØØ14-76-C-0229	SE ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)
7) N00914-70-C-0229	TR-103
NR 105-516	
c.	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(8) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)
d.	
Distribution of this report is unlimited	
II. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY
THE OUT PERMANENT HAVE BEEN AND THE STATE OF	
	Office of Naval Research
	/
13. ABSTRACT	<del></del>
	· /
"Doct children moneyed his this labour	Anna Calana Anna and Anna and Anna and Anna

Past studies reported by this laboratory above documented myocardial dysfunction and progressively developing hypoglycemia in canine endotoxin shock. The purpose of the present study was to determine the effects of glucose concentrations and insulin infusions on myocardial performance following endotoxin administration. Experiments were carried out on isolated, working canine left ventricular heart preparations exchanging blood with intact dogs. Myocardial function was evaluated following endotoxin and correlated with concentrations of glucose and effects of insulin infusion. Cardiac dysfunction occurred within 2-4 hours post-endotoxin and the degree of malfunction was not related to arterial blood glucose concentrations. Maintaining blood glucose at control, pre-shock, levels by infusion of 50% glucose did not prevent myocardial dysfunction as evidenced by elevations of left ventricular end diastolic pressure, and depressed power. Infusions of insulin reversed cardiac failure and maintained normal performance in spite of wide ranges in glucose concentration (5-120 mg\*). Findings suggest that myocardial dysfunction is not precipitated or enhanced by the hypoglycemia of endotoxin shock. The beneficial actions of infused insulin on cardiac performance appear to be excited on the basis of mechanisms other than myocardial glucose transport.

405-916

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

A-31400

# OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH BIOLOGICAL & MEDICAL SCIENCES DIVISION MEDICAL AND DENTAL SCIENCES PROGRAM, CODE 444 DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR TECHNICAL, ANNUAL AND FINAL REPORTS

Number of Copies	
(12)	Administrator, Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314
(6)	Director, Naval Research Laboratory Attention: Technical Information Division Code 2627 Washington, D. C. 20375
(6)	Director, Naval Research Laboratory Attention: Library Code 2029 (ONRL) Washington, D. C. 20375
(3)	Office of Naval Research Medical and Dental Sciences Code 444 Alington, Virginia 22217
(1)	Commanding Officer Naval Medical Research and Development Command National Naval Medical Center Bethesda, Maryland 20014
(1)	Chief, Bureau of Medicine and Surgery Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20375
(2)	Technical Reference Library Naval Medical Research Institute National Naval Medical Center Bethesda, Maryland 20014
(1)	Office of Naval Research Branch Office 495 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210
(1)	Office of Naval Research Branch Office 536 South Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605
(1)	Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91101

(1)	Office of Naval Research Contract Administrator for Southeastern Area 2110 G. Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20037
(1)	Commanding Officer Naval Medical Research Unit No. 2 Box 14 APO San Francisco 96263
(1)	Commanding Officer Naval Medical Research Unit No. 3 FPO New York 09527
(1)	Officer in Charge Submarine Medical Research Laboratory Naval Submarine Base, New London Groton, Connecticut 06342
(1)	Scientific Library Naval Medical Field Research Laboratory Camp Lejeune, North Carolina 28542
(1)	Scientific Library Naval Aerospace Medical Research Institute Naval Aerospace Medical Center Pensacola, Florida 32512
(1)	Commanding Officer Naval Air Development Center Attention: Aerospace Medical Research Department Warminster, Pennsylvania 18974
(1)	Scientific Library Naval Biomedical Research Laboratory Naval Supply Center Oakland, California 94625
(1)	Commander, Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27709
(1)	Director, Life Sciences Division Air Force Office of Scientific Research 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22209

(1)	Commanding General Army Medical Research and Development Command Forrestal Building Washington, D. C. 20314
(1)	Department of the Army U. S. Army Science and Technology Center - Far East APO San Francisco 96328
(1)	Assistant Chief for Technology Office of Naval Research, Code 200 Arlington, Virginia 22217